

HOUSING, COUNCIL TAX BENEFIT & COUNCIL TAX REDUCTION SCHEME (CTRS) ANTI-FRAUD POLICY



Draft April 2013

Contents

Section		Page
Housing, Council Tax Benefit & CTRS Anti-Fraud Policy		
1	Introduction	3
2	Definition of Benefit/CTRS Fraud	4
3	Culture	4
4	Prevention	4
5	Detection and Investigation	6
 Housing, Council Tax Benefit and CTRS Sanction and Prosecution Policy		
I	Statement of Intent	7
II	Recovery of Overpayments	8
III	No Further Action	8
IV	Evidential Criteria	8
V	Public Interest Criteria	9
VI	Civil Penalties for incorrect statements	9
VII	Formal Cautions	10
VIII	Penalties as an alternative to prosecution	10
IX	Cases not suitable for a Caution or a Penalty	11
X	Prosecution	11
XI	Loss of Benefit Provision	12
Appendix A	Code of Conduct for Benefit Fraud Investigation Staff	
Appendix B	Department for Work and Pensions Prosecution Policy	

Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council
Housing, Council Tax Benefit & CTRS Anti-Fraud Policy

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council is committed to the delivery of Housing, Council Tax Benefit and from the 1st April 2013 the Council Tax Reduction Scheme (CTRS) to its citizens and considers benefits and reductions in Council Tax is for those who are most vulnerable in society. It is important to detect and prevent fraud and error in the first instance and as such the council seeks to check and verify all original documents and personal circumstances before making payments or amending existing payments of benefit to claimants.
- 1.2 The council is opposed to all forms of fraud and corruption. It recognises that fraud and corruption undermines the standards of public service, which it promotes, and reduces the resources available for the good of the whole community. The council has issued an Anti-Fraud and Corruption Strategy and a Whistleblowing Policy to encourage prevention, promote detection and support the investigation of allegations of fraud or corruption at a corporate level.
- 1.3 This Housing, Council Tax Benefit & CTRS Anti-Fraud Policy is designed to reinforce the Anti-Fraud & Corruption Strategy specifically in relation to Housing, Council Tax Benefit and CTRS administration and is designed to: -
- 1 stop fraudulent claims from entering our systems
 - 1 find any fraudulent claims already in the system
 - 1 stop payments from going to people who are not entitled to it
 - 1 punish those people who commit fraud
 - 1 recover fraudulent overpayments of benefit or reductions
 - 1 deter people from trying to commit fraud
- 1.4 The Housing, Council Tax Benefit & CTRS Anti-Fraud Policy and the Sanctions & Prosecutions policy will be reviewed at least annually and will be presented to the Audit Committee for recommended endorsement by Council via Cabinet.

2. DEFINITION OF BENEFIT/CTRS FRAUD

2.1 Benefit/CTRS fraud is where a person, dishonestly, or not,

- a) Falsifies a statement or a document; or
- b) Is involved in a failure to notify a relevant change of circumstance; or
- c) Omits relevant information

for the purpose of obtaining or increasing entitlement to housing and/or council tax benefit and CTRS for themselves or another.

For the purposes of CTRS there is a legal requirement for changes in circumstances to be notified to Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council in writing within 21 days of the change.

3. CULTURE

3.1 The council is determined that the culture and tone of the organisation will continue to be one of honesty and opposition to fraud and corruption and as such has established a dedicated Investigation Team.

3.2 The council's staff and members, at all levels, are an important element in its stance on fraud and corruption and should lead by example. They are encouraged to raise any concerns and can do this in the knowledge that these will be treated in confidence and properly investigated.

3.3 Instances of suspected/alleged Housing Benefit and/or Council Tax Benefit and/or CTRS fraud may be referred for investigation to the Investigation Team. Investigation Officers are based in the Investigation Section and can be contacted on extension 6101 or through the e-mail system. All staff conducting either investigations and or interviews should be aware of the council's procedures for dealing with unacceptable and aggressive behaviour, which is contained within the Violence at Work & Lone Working Guide Code of Practice which is available on the Health & Safety staff net site.

4. PREVENTION

Staff

4.1 To reduce the risk of fraud and error it is vital that the qualifications and employment histories of potential recruits are comprehensively checked. Benefits staff should also sign an annual declaration covering any interests that may conflict with their work. For example, receiving HB, CTB or CTRS, or acting as a landlord or agent.

4.2 All individuals within the Investigation Team are required to act with integrity and follow the **Code of Conduct for Investigation Staff (see Appendix A)**.

4.3 Employees must declare any circumstances where their personal interests (financial and non-financial) may conflict with those of the council e.g. processing a Housing or Council Tax Benefit or CTRS application form for a relative or friend.

- 4.4 Procedures have been designed to ensure that the work of each member of staff is checked by a Senior Benefits Officer. These types of checks are important deterrents to fraud and error.
- 4.5 Benefits staff will receive Fraud Awareness training as part of their induction. Thereafter they will receive annual refresher training.
- 4.6 Appropriate staff both internally within the council and externally will receive benefits fraud awareness training.
- 4.7 All Investigation Officers will undertake training in order to ensure that they carry out their duties in accordance with recognised standards for Benefit Fraud Investigation as set out in the Local Authorities Benefit Fraud Manual. Guidance can also be obtained from the DWP Fraud Manual located within the secure IRRV website. In addition they will undertake any training required by the Council and Department for Work and Pensions in order to use specific powers under the Council Tax Reduction Schemes (Detection of Fraud and Enforcement) (England) Regulations 2013, the Social Security Administration Act and Social Security Fraud Act (Authorised Officer powers).

Systems

- 4.8 It is a management responsibility to maintain the internal control system. This includes the responsibility for the prevention of fraud and other illegal acts. By undertaking an agreed plan of work, internal audit will evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of these controls as a means of assisting management to discharge its responsibilities.
- 4.9 All Benefits/CTRS recording systems must be designed in consultation with and to the satisfaction of the Director of Finance & Transformation, Chief Internal Auditor and Investigation Manager. Access to data must be controlled by use of passwords with an audit trail kept of transactions
- 4.10 All staff with access to the confidential details of claimants will be responsible for ensuring the control of physical access to the data and will be responsible for compliance with the Data Protection Act and the Freedom of Information Act. This responsibility requires managers to ensure that the physical access to equipment is restricted, as far as practical, to authorized users only. All individuals must protect their passwords and not keep them written down or 'lend them'.
- 4.11 All manual investigation records must be kept securely filed when not in use and access to these files must be restricted to designated Investigators only.
- 4.12 All valuables, including documentation, must be recorded and tracked through the council system until returned to the originator. Where these items are hand delivered a receipt will be given to the originator.
- 4.13 All telephone calls received in relation to the investigation of fraud will be recorded manually and a note of the content of the conversation will be kept on file.

5. DETECTION AND INVESTIGATION

- 5.1 Surveys by the Audit Commission have identified that Housing and Council Tax Benefit Fraud is one of the largest area of detected fraud in local government, the new CTRS will be no exception to this.
- 5.2 Whilst encouraging genuine claimants to apply for benefit or a reduction the council has adopted a number of initiatives to detect and prevent fraudulent applications, such as:
- 1 operation of a fraud 'hotline'; 01732 876101
 - 1 participation in the Department for Work and Pension's monthly data-matching exercise;
 - 1 using computer links to the Department for Works and Pensions to check entitlements and to receive benefit notifications;
 - 1 undertaking land registry checks;
 - 1 carrying out joint fraud investigations with other bodies such as the DWP's Fraud Investigation Service, Border Agency, Kent Police, other Local Authorities and other investigation departments;
 - 1 publicity of anti-fraud initiatives and the Fraud Hotline number including regular articles in 'Here & Now' and press releases to the press office.
- 5.3 All referrals passed to the team will be sifted and vetted for strength of evidence. All cases where the evidence is considered sufficient to investigate will be logged on to the Northgate Fraud Management System and a case file opened.
- 5.4 The council's Disciplinary procedures will be used where the outcome of an investigation indicates improper behaviour by a council employee.
- 5.5 Members of staff within the Benefits Section should refer suspected cases of fraud to the Investigation Team by completing the Referral Form on Northgate. The Investigation Team will give feedback to staff regarding the quality of the Fraud Referral and the outcome of any resulting investigation.
- 5.6 The Investigation Manager will circulate a quarterly report on the outcome of referrals. This report will include the source of the cases and details of the fraud type. This report will be given to the Service Manager – Revenues & Benefits and all benefit staff.
- 5.7 All claims where there is sufficient proof for the council to believe that benefit has been claimed fraudulently will be dealt with under the Sanctions and Prosecution Policy. The sanctions open to the council include a penalty, Caution, Administration Penalty or Prosecution.
- 5.8 The council will take action, including legal recovery, in order to recover all overpayments of Housing, Council Tax Benefit & CTRS that result from

fraudulent activity or claimant failure to notify a change of circumstances.

Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council
Housing, Council Tax Benefit & CTRS
Sanction and Prosecution Policy

I. STATEMENT OF INTENT

Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council has a duty to administer claims for Housing, Council Tax Benefit and CTRS and a responsibility to prevent and detect benefit fraud. Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council is committed to protecting public funds and will consider taking prosecution action against any person suspected of committing benefit fraud.

Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council has decided that its Prosecution Policy should not be entirely related to the monetary value of the offence. This is because Tonbridge and Malling is an area where rents and Council Tax liability vary widely depending on the location and type of property in question. In these circumstances a purely monetary policy would not be appropriate. All cases will be looked at on their own merit and any mitigating circumstances taken into account.

The initial recommendation on the appropriate action to be taken in each case lies with the Fraud Investigator. This will depend on the evidence they have gathered and the seriousness of the fraud.

The final decision on the sanction outcome of an investigation will be determined by a Prosecution Panel comprising of Director of Finance & Transformation, Chief Internal Auditor and the Senior Legal Officer.

Cases deemed suitable for prosecution may be passed to the Department for Work and Pensions Solicitor's Office under the existing Local Authority Prosecution arrangements. These cases are generally prosecuted under the Social Security Administration Act 1992. Offences committed against the CTRS will be sanctioned under the CTRS (Detection of Fraud and Enforcement) (England) Regulations 2013.

Cases may also be dealt with in house; the Senior Legal Officer will present cases at plea and direction with the backing of private prosecution services to conduct cases where a not guilty plea has been entered. If a guilty plea has been entered the Senior Legal Officer will proceed and conclude the hearing.

Cases that are progressed under the One Customer One Team (OCOT) agreement with the Department for Work and Pensions will be subject to this policy and consideration given to the Department for Work and Pensions sanction policy (as shown in **Appendix B**) when considering offences committed against Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council.

Opinion will be gained from a legal professional either in house or private to ensure a robust case is presented at court.

II. RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS

Regardless of whether or not any Sanction action is taken, Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council will attempt to recover all overpayments. This action is taken by the Exchequer Assistant (Overpayments) who will pursue all available methods of recuperating the debt including taking civil action when necessary.

III. NO FURTHER ACTION

Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council may consider closing the case without any further action if:

- ☐ To our knowledge the claimant has never previously offended
- ☐ The claimant has not made any false declarations
- ☐ There was no planning involved in the process
- ☐ There was no other person involved in the fraud
- ☐ The offence is minor
- ☐ The period over which the fraud has been committed is very short
- ☐ The overpayment is very low

In cases where no further action is appropriate and an Interview Under Caution has taken place a letter will be issued stating that no further action will take place, however a strong reminder advising of the responsibility to notify changes and correct information will be included in the letter.

IV. EVIDENTIAL CRITERIA

Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council may consider sanction action if the case is serious enough to warrant it.

In order for any Sanctions to be considered the case must meet the evidential criteria. In other words is there sufficient evidence for a realistic prospect of a conviction?

In making this decision, the following factors will be considered;

- ☐ How clear the evidence is
- ☐ If there has been any failure in the investigation
- ☐ If there has been any failure in benefit administration

V. PUBLIC INTEREST CRITERIA

If the evidential criteria are met then the council will consider whether or not Sanction action would be in the public interest. In making this decision, the following factors will be considered first:

- q Medical conditions
- q Other social factors
- q Council's Vulnerability Policy

If these still indicate that a sanction is appropriate then the other Public Interest Criteria as shown in The Code for Crown Prosecutors listed at http://www.cps.gov.uk/publications/docs/code2013english_v2.pdf will be considered in order to decide the appropriate sanction.

VI CIVIL PENALTIES FOR INCORRECT STATEMENTS

The Authority may consider imposing a penalty of £70 on a person where:

- q The person negligently makes an incorrect statement or representation, or negligently gives incorrect information or evidence.
- q In or in connection with an application; or
- q In connection with the award of a reduction under a council tax reduction scheme;
- q Fails to take reasonable steps to correct the error
- q Fails to notify Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council of any change in circumstances in writing within the statutory 21 day period.
- q The error results in an award of a reduction under a council tax reduction scheme which is greater than the amount to which the person was entitled (an "excess reduction"); and
- q The person has not been charged with an offence, cautioned, or been given a notice under regulation 11 (administration penalty), in respect of the excess reduction.

The Authority may consider imposing a penalty of £50 on a person where:

- q The Person negligently makes an incorrect statement or representation, or negligently gives incorrect information or evidence.
- q In or in connection with an application; or
- q In connection with the award of Housing and/or Council Tax Benefit;
- q Fails to take reasonable steps to correct the error
- q The error results in an award of Housing and/or Council Tax Benefit which is greater than the amount to which the person was entitled; and
- q The person has not been charged with an offence or cautioned, or been given an administration penalty.

These penalties will be in addition to the overpayment used to calculate them.

VII. FORMAL CAUTIONS

The Authority may consider issuing a Formal Caution if:

- ☐ The claimant has been Interviewed Under Caution
- ☐ To our knowledge the claimant has never previously offended
- ☐ Or if the person has committed benefit/CTRS fraud before the offence was minor and the current offence is also minor;
- ☐ There was no planning involved in the process
- ☐ There was no other person involved in the fraud
- ☐ Criminal proceedings are not the first option
- ☐ Penalty action is not appropriate
- ☐ The offence is minor
- ☐ The amount of overpayment is relatively low.
- ☐ The person has fully admitted the offence during an IUC.
- ☐ The persons subsequent attitude, e.g. whether they express genuine regret for what they have done.

If the person refuses the Caution the case will usually be referred for prosecution.

VIII. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES

The Authority may consider issuing an Administrative Penalty if:

- ☐ The claimant has been Interviewed Under Caution
- ☐ To our knowledge the claimant has never previously offended
- ☐ Or if the person has committed benefit fraud before the offence was minor and the current offence is also minor;
- ☐ There was no planning involved in the process
- ☐ There was no other person involved in the fraud
- ☐ Criminal proceedings are not the first option
- ☐ A Caution is not appropriate
- ☐ The offence is minor
- ☐ The person has not admitted the offence during an IUC.
- ☐ For offences committed against the Social Security Administration Act before the 8 May 2012 the administration penalty will be 30% of the total overpayment.
- ☐ For offences committed against the Social Security Administration Act wholly on or after 8 May 2012, the minimum penalty is £350.00. For overpayments totally over £700, the penalty is set at 50% of the recoverable overpayment, up to a maximum penalty of £2,000.
- ☐ For offences committed against CTRS wholly on or after 1 April 2013 the minimum penalty is £100. For overpayments over £200, the penalty is set at 50% of the "excess reduction" up to a maximum penalty of £1,000.

If the person refuses the Administrative Penalty the case will usually be referred for prosecution.

IX. CASES NOT SUITABLE FOR A CAUTION OR A PENALTY

Even if the above criteria for Cautions and Penalties was satisfied Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council may decide that a Formal Caution or Administrative Penalty is not appropriate if the person:

- ☐ Has been prosecuted for a fraud related offence in the last 5 years.
- ☐ Has been cautioned two or more times in the past five years.

This is because this would indicate that

- ☐ Previous sanctions have not deterred them from re-offending
- ☐ Their lack of contrition for the offences committed;
- ☐ Their disregard for the legislation;
- ☐ A deliberate and repeated intent to commit fraud
- ☐ Their apparent belief that these offences are not serious.

Instead Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council may consider referring the case for prosecution.

In cases where a Sanction is not appropriate and an offence has been identified then a formal warning letter may be issued and held on file for five years. The warning letter will be cited in further cases where appropriate.

X. PROSECUTION

The final decision on whether to refer a case for prosecution lies with the Prosecution Panel.

Once the decision to prosecute has been made the case will be presented to the Council's Legal team for an opinion on the evidential and public interest test. If the evidential and public interest tests have been met the case will be authorised by the Legal Team and passed for prosecution. If the Legal team consider the evidential and public interest test has not been met the case will be referred back to the Investigation Team with a recommendation.

In addition to the Evidential Criteria and Public Interest Criteria outlined above the following will also be taken into consideration

- ☐ Whether the claim was false from inception
- ☐ Whether there was planning in the process
- ☐ Whether the suspect was a ring leader or an organizer of the offence
- ☐ Any previous incidence of fraud
- ☐ Whether there has been any abuse of position or privilege
- ☐ The amount of the overpayment
- ☐ The duration of the alleged offence
- ☐ Whether there are grounds for believing that the offence is likely to be continued or repeated, based on the person's previous history.
- ☐ Whether the offence is widespread in the area where it was committed and so prosecution may act as a deterrent
- ☐ Any voluntary disclosure

- Has the person refused to accept a Formal Caution or Administrative Penalty

XI. Loss of Benefit provision

The Social Security (Loss of Benefit) Provisions Amendment Regulations 2010 introduced a revised loss of provision option that includes those cases where there is only Housing or Council Tax benefit in payment for offences occurring after 1 April 2010.

These are only applied to the principle benefit in payment at the discretion of the Department for Work and Pensions. Where there is Housing and Council Tax Benefit in payment they will be applied to whichever benefit is the greater.

The “first strike” can be applied to all cases where there is a sanction (Caution, Administration Penalty or Prosecution) arising from a fraudulent overpayment of benefit. The loss of benefit is applied for a four week disqualifying period. The benefit can be withdrawn, or reduced by 20% or 40%.

The “two-strikes” loss of benefit provision can be applied to those cases where there has been two benefit related sanctions within a five-year period. In these cases the person can be disqualified from receiving benefit for a thirteen- week period.

The “three-strikes” can be applied to those cases where there are more than two benefit related sanctions within a five-year period. In these cases the person can be disqualified from receiving benefit for a six-month period.